

AO 248 (Rev. 08/20) ORDER ON MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

USDC SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #:  
DATE FILED: 4/15/2022

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Case No. 1:16-cr-656

v.

ORDER ON MOTION FOR  
SENTENCE REDUCTION UNDER  
18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)

LUIS CABAN

(COMPASSIONATE RELEASE)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons for a reduction in sentence under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), and after considering the applicable factors provided in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and the applicable policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☐ GRANTED

☐ The defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment of \_\_\_\_\_ is reduced to \_\_\_\_\_. If this sentence is less than the amount of time the defendant already served, the sentence is reduced to a time served; or

☐ Time served.

If the defendant's sentence is reduced to time served:

☐ This order is stayed for up to fourteen days, for the verification of the defendant's residence and/or establishment of a release plan, to make appropriate travel arrangements, and to ensure the defendant's safe release. The defendant shall be released as soon as a residence is verified, a release plan is established, appropriate travel arrangements are made,

and it is safe for the defendant to travel. There shall be no delay in ensuring travel arrangements are made. If more than fourteen days are needed to make appropriate travel arrangements and ensure the defendant's safe release, the parties shall immediately notify the court and show cause why the stay should be extended; or

☐ There being a verified residence and an appropriate release plan in place, this order is stayed for up to fourteen days to make appropriate travel arrangements and to ensure the defendant's safe release. The defendant shall be released as soon as appropriate travel arrangements are made and it is safe for the defendant to travel. There shall be no delay in ensuring travel arrangements are made. If more than fourteen days are needed to make appropriate travel arrangements and ensure the defendant's safe release, then the parties shall immediately notify the court and show cause why the stay should be extended.

☐ The defendant must provide the complete address where the defendant will reside upon release to the probation office in the district where they will be released because it was not included in the motion for sentence reduction.

☐ Under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), the defendant is ordered to serve a "special term" of ☐ probation or ☐ supervised release of \_\_\_\_\_ months (not to exceed the unserved portion of the original term of imprisonment).

☐ The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release apply to the "special term" of supervision; or

☐ The conditions of the "special term" of supervision are as follows:

☐ The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release are unchanged.

☐ The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release are modified as follows:

☐ DEFERRED pending supplemental briefing and/or a hearing. The court DIRECTS the United States Attorney to file a response on or before \_\_\_\_\_, along with all Bureau of Prisons records (medical, institutional, administrative) relevant to this motion.

☒ DENIED after complete review of the motion on the merits.

☒ FACTORS CONSIDERED (Optional)

The Court has considered Luis Caban's application for compassionate release and its supporting materials, Dkt. No. 949, as well as the materials presented to the Court in connection with his sentencing. The Court recognizes that the "First Step Act freed district courts to consider the full slate of extraordinary and compelling reasons that an imprisoned person might bring before them in motions for compassionate release." *United States v. Brooker*, 976 F.3d 228, 237 (2d Cir. 2020). The Court does not conclude that Mr. Caban has met his burden to show extraordinary and compelling circumstances in support of his application for his compassionate release. He suffers from pre-existing conditions that may increase the risk of COVID-19, including obesity and asthma. Born in 1979, Mr. Caban is relatively young, however; he is not in the age cohort at greatest risk from COVID-19. And, significantly, Mr. Caban has been fully vaccinated and boosted. As the pandemic has become endemic, the conditions that Mr. Caban describes are not sufficient to meet his burden to demonstrate that extraordinary and compelling reasons exist that warrant his release.

Moreover, after evaluating the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), the Court does not believe that a modification of Mr. Caban's sentence is appropriate at this time. As the Court described at sentencing, Mr. Caban's offense was very serious. "Mr. Caban was one of the principal suppliers of cocaine in a conspiracy that sold a menu of illegal drugs in the Highbridge area of the Bronx." Sentencing Transcript ("Tr."), Dkt. No. 423, at 15:23-25. Mr. Caban aided and abetted the possession of a firearm in connection with the crime. *Id.* at 16:4-6. Mr. Caban had a substantial criminal history, including a conviction for possession of a loaded firearm that resulted in a five year prison sentence, all of which resulted his placement in criminal history category IV. *Id.* 6:12-7:4. His guidelines range was 188 to 235 months imprisonment. *Id.* at 7:13-14. The Court appreciates the insight that his time of incarceration that provided Mr. Caban, as he outlines in his letter to the Court in connection with this application. And the Court believes that, arguably, there is a greater need for medical care and treatment in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. But in evaluating the sentencing factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), the Court does not believe that early release is appropriate for Mr. Caban, for substantially the reasons that it articulated at sentencing. Mr. Caban's crime was very serious: a shorter term of imprisonment would not be a just punishment. Moreover, the Court continues to believe that a lesser sentence would not serve the goals of general and personal deterrence. As the Court commented at sentencing, "Mr. Caban, unlike some of his codefendants in this case, is not a young man. He's been convicted of several crimes in the past, including a drug trafficking offense, and has served significant amounts of time in prison. Yet, when he thought he was not making enough money at a legitimate job, he turned to selling drugs here. Mr. Caban is mature enough and experienced enough to understand the consequences of his decisions, and he has chosen to return to criminal activity again and again." *Id.* at 21:10-19. The Court imposed a sentence that was substantially below the advisory guidelines

range. As the Court concluded at sentencing, a lesser sentence is not appropriate. The facts presented by the defendant in his application do not alter the Court's evaluation of the 3553(a) factors as a whole. The Court does not believe that a reduction in the defendant's sentence is appropriate at this time.

The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. See *Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).


The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate the motions pending at Dkt. Nos. 924, 944, and 949 and to mail a copy of this order to Mr. Caban.

☐ DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE because the defendant has not exhausted all administrative remedies as required in 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), nor have 30 days lapsed since receipt of the defendant's request by the warden of the defendant's facility.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

April 15, 2022

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
GREGORY H. WOODS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE